



From,
Sail Katta Reddy
Po Box 551
Urbana, Illinois 61803
Sailreddy2000@yahoo.com November 12, 2007

Ref: Application # 09/955,671, Art Unit 1722

To
The Examiner (Marissa W. Chaet)

I am submitting other evidence.

The original claims submitted along with the application were or have been claimed by other inventors and was found upon search. I was in an impression that I was the first one to come up with a new structure for a dough perforator based on the existing perforators and their structure. I have certain features in the perforator mentions different structures and arrangements different from all other perforators available. I did not think that those little details such as ' lower flat surface consists of several threaded holes arranged in a fixed pattern.' The amended claims clearly indicate to me that my invention is different from all other perforators. Which were all mentioned in the detailed description in my original application.

Patent# 4, 395, 216 July 26, 1983, Anetsberger, et al does not mention having threaded holes in building a dough sheet perforator.

Patent# 475,607 May 24, 1892 Peterson does not mention about threaded holes in any manner in developing their apparatus.

Patent# 4,573,388 Sullivan-et al March 1986 did not use threads or threaded holes in developing their apparatus.

US 2,264,424 06 1941 Gainer Turner Charles did not explain or use threads or threaded holes nor mention about number of nail like objects depend on the size of the apparatus as in my apparatus.

US 3, 234, 895 02 1966 Leiby Dayano also have not discussed or used threaded holes or claimed its arrangement, or replacing threaded nail like objects.

US 5, 405,627 04 1995 Ito Toadyishi does not claim any of my amended inventions.

Thus, I am pleading the examiner to further consider my affidavit or evidence showing a good and sufficient reason, which was not presented, has been made.

Thank you very much.

Sincerely Yours,

Sail Katta Reddy
SAIL KATTA REDDY